

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,545	03/01/2004	Marko Areh	ZTP01P15153	3280
24131	7590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,545	AREH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,15,16 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) <u>5,7-14,17-20,24 and 25</u> is/are objecte			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			٠
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are:	a) $igtiz$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected t	o by the Examiner.	,
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	J-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	on No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)	_	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04</u>. 	-		152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ross.

There is disclosed in Ross an electrical kitchen appliance, comprising: an electrical motor 1; a motor connection including a motor mount configured as a hollow cylinder 8 with open end walls for mounting the motor therethrough; counter-retaining devices (screw openings) on the motor; and retaining devices (screws) 9 located on different segments of the cylinder and connecting with the counter-retaining devices.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blessing.

There is disclosed in Blessing an electrical fruit press, comprising: a housing 4, 7; an electrical motor 10 disposed in the housing; and a motor mount configured as a hollow cylinder 4 with an open front wall, the motor mount mounting the electrical motor therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross.

While Ross appears to disclose some form of metal material for the motor mount, there is nothing prohibiting the material from being something other than a metal.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to construct the cylinder of a plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7-14, 17-20, 24 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Brewer and Flegel are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,545

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla December 6, 2005 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761